

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/800,312		MARTINEZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	HO SHIU		2457	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) HO SHIU.

(2) Reza Sadr Reg. # 63,292.

**Date of Interview:** 16 December 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 23-33, 35, 37-45, and 50-55

Prior art documents discussed:

Yogeshwar, Mundra, Goodwin

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 12:30 pm

/HO SHIU/

Examiner, Art Unit 2457

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner placed a call to applicant's attorney to propose an examiner's amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance. The applicant's attorney, Reza Sadr, Reg # 63,292 had given authorization for the proposed examiner's amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance.